



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,034	01/10/2006	Kazuo Miyagawa	107355-00145	3996
4372	7590	02/13/2008	EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			PHAN, HAU VAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com
IPMatters@arentfox.com
Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/564,034	Applicant(s) MIYAGAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Hau V. Phan	Art Unit 3618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hau V. Phan. (3)_____.

(2) Rhonda Barton. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Watanabe et al. (5,472,063).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about a sub-frame of the application in claim 1 compare to a sub-frame of Watanabe. The Examiner mention that Watanabe disclose a bracket (36a) in U-shaped to enclosed an on board mount that can be considerd the sub-frame. The Examiner also noticed to applicant that as long as the reference disclose the structure, which is read on the claim. The method of assembly or removably could be the same.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hau V Phan/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required